



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/551,547 04/18/2000		04/18/2000	Takanobu Ihara	040373/0280	2187	
22428	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY A	ND LARI	DNER	GESESSE, TILAHUN			
SUITE 500 3000 K STI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2684			
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/551,547	IHARA, TAKANOBU		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Tilahun B Gesessse	2684		

		Tilahun B Gesessse		2684	
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 April 2005</u> FAILS	TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION	FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejet this application, applicant must time places the application in condition a Request for Continued Examinatime periods: 	nely file one of the follow for allowance; (2) a No	ving replies: (1) an amendr tice of Appeal (with appeal	ment, aff i fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date	set forth	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checke TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL R	EJECTION. See MPÉP 70	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 have been filed is the date for purposes of de under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply r may reduce any earned patent term adjustme NOTICE OF APPEAL	etermining the period of exi the expiration date of the s eceived by the Office later	ension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for re than three months after the m	amount origi	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFF a Notice of Appeal has been filed, 	R 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed (a) ☐ They raise new issues that v (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new to the issue of the issue of	vould require further co	nsideration and/or search (ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place appeal; and/or	•	•	erially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional clair NOTE: <u>See Continuation S</u>	_	• •	nally reje	ected claims.	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in comp		3	Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the			NOII-CO	impliant Americinent	(FTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended clair non-allowable claim(s). 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		eparate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the propo how the new or amended claims w The status of the claim(s) is (or will Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 12-28.	ould be rejected is prov		o) 🔲 wil	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4 and 6-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from considera	ition:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed because applicant failed to provide was not earlier presented. See 37 	a showing of good and	t before or on the date of fi I sufficient reasons why the	ling a No e affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed entered because the affidavit or ot showing a good and sufficient reas	d after the date of filing her evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections und	er appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is	entered. An explanation	-			•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/Control of the request for reconsideration has been seen as the reconsideration has been seen as the request for reconsideration has been seen as the request for reconsideration as the reconsideration		t does NOT place the appli	ication in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Dis	sclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper N	o(s)	
13.		TILL B	0	5/17/05	
		TILAHUN GESESSE PRIMARY EXAMINER		Tilahun B Gesessse Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2684	Э

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added feature of claims 1 and 4 " said plurality of different line keys being separate and distinct from said numeric keypad" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search..